



ACADEMIC
PRESS

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

JOURNAL OF
Approximation
Theory

Journal of Approximation Theory 120 (2003) 296–308

<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jat>

The retraction constant in some Banach spaces

Marco Baronti,^{a,*} Emanuele Casini,^b and Carlo Franchetti^c

^a *Dimet, Università degli Studi di Genova, Piazzale Kennedy, Genova 16129, Italy*

^b *Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, Fisiche e Matematiche, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria,
Via Valleggio 5, Como 22100, Italy*

^c *Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata, Università degli Studi di Firenze, Via di S. Marta 3,
Firenze 50139, Italy*

Received 16 November 2001; accepted in revised form 18 October 2002

Abstract

We give new sharper estimations for the retraction constant in some Banach spaces.

© 2002 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

Keywords: Retractions; Lipschitz maps; Minimal displacement

1. Introduction and notations

It is well known that Brouwer's fixed point theorem has the following equivalent form: If X is a finite-dimensional Banach space then there is no continuous retraction from the closed unit ball $B(X)$ onto the unit sphere $S(X)$ (R is a retraction if $Rx = x$ for all $x \in S(X)$). After some partial results (see [G-K] for an optimal list of references), Benyamini and Sternfeld solved completely the problem of existence of Lipschitzian retractions in the infinite-dimensional case by proving the following result:

Theorem 1 (Benyamini and Sternfeld [B-S]). *There exists an universal constant \mathcal{K} such that for every infinite-dimensional Banach space X there exists a Lipschitzian retraction R from the unit ball $B(X)$ onto the unit sphere $S(X)$ with Lipschitz constant less than \mathcal{K} .*

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: baronti@dimet.unige.it (M. Baronti), emanuele.casini@uninsubria.it (E. Casini), franchetti@dma.unifi.it (C. Franchetti).

This theorem suggests some quantitative problems. First of all it seems that no significant lower and no upper bound for the best constant \mathcal{K} that appears in Theorem 1 is known. Given an infinite-dimensional Banach space X , the retraction constant $k_0(X)$ is defined as the infimum of such k for which there exists a retraction $R : B(X) \rightarrow S(X)$ in $\mathcal{L}(k)$, where $\mathcal{L}(k)$ is the class of Lipschitz maps with constant k . The only general result states that (see [G-K]): $k_0(X) \geq 3$ for every space X . Some estimations of $k_0(X)$ for some classical Banach space are available in the literature. Here is a list of known results:

- $4 \leq k_0(l_1) < 31.64$ (see [B1]),
- $k_0(c_0) < 35.18$ (see [B3]),
- $k_0(L^1[0, 1]) < 9.43$ (see [G – K]),
- $k_0(C([a, b])) < 23.31$ (see [G2]),
- $4.55 < k_0(H) < 64.25$ (see [G – K, K – W]).

The aim of this paper is to improve the known upper bounds for the retraction constant $k_0(X)$ in some classical Banach spaces.

Let X be an infinite-dimensional Banach space X . For any $T : B(X) \rightarrow B(X)$ the minimal displacement η_T of T is defined by

$$\eta_T = \inf \{ \|x - Tx\| : x \in B(X) \}.$$

The minimal displacement characteristic of X is

$$\psi_X(k) = \sup_{T \in \mathcal{L}(k)} \eta_T.$$

This function, for $k > 1$, satisfies

$$0 < \psi_X(k) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{k}.$$

The study of this function started in [G1] where the upper bound is proved while the positiveness of ψ_X is a consequence of the Benyamini–Sternfeld result. This and other properties of the minimal displacement characteristic can be found in the book of Kirk and Goebel [G-K] (see also [B1, B2]). We recall the definition of the radial projection P_R from a Banach space X onto its unit ball $B(X)$:

$$P_R(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } \|x\| \leq 1, \\ x/\|x\| & \text{if } 1 < \|x\|. \end{cases}$$

P_R is a Lipschitz function on X . The best Lipschitz constant for P_R is denoted by $h(X)$ and is called the radial constant of the space X . It is easily seen that $1 \leq h(X) \leq 2$. It is also known that if $\dim X > 2$, X is a Hilbert space if and only if $h(X) = 1$. The exact values of $h(X)$ in L_p spaces are given in [F].

Note the following useful fact: for elements $x, y \in X$, if $\|x\|, \|y\| \geq r > 0$ we have (see for e.g. [B-G])

$$\left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} - \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right\| = \left\| P_R\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) - P_R\left(\frac{y}{r}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{h(X)}{r} \|x - y\|.$$

A subset W , with at least two points, of a normed space X is δ -dispersed if $\|x - y\| > \delta$ for each pair x, y of distinct points of W . A subset W of a normed space X is proximal if for each $x \in X$ there exists an element $w(x) \in W$ such that $\|x - w(x)\| = \text{dist}(x, W)$.

2. A minimum principle

In this section, we formulate a simple minimum principle which we will use later for the construction of retractions. The next proposition is probably known but we were not able to find references.

Define

$$G = \{g \in C^1[0, 1]: g(0) = \gamma, g(1) = 1\},$$

$$\Phi: G \rightarrow C[0, 1]; \quad \Phi(g) = (g - 1)' - L(g - 1),$$

where γ and $L > 0$ are fixed constants.

Proposition 1.

$$\inf\{\|\Phi(g)\|: g \in G\} = \frac{L|1 - \gamma|}{1 - e^{-L}} = \|\Phi(\bar{g})\|,$$

where the norm is the usual supremum norm and

$$\bar{g}(t) = 1 + e^{Lt} \left[\int_0^t e^{-Ls} \frac{L(1 - \gamma)}{1 - e^{-L}} ds + (\gamma - 1) \right] = 1 + \frac{(1 - \gamma)(e^{L(t-1)} - 1)}{1 - e^{-L}}.$$

Proof. Set $\Phi(g) = v$, then

$$g(t) - 1 = e^{Lt} \left[\int_0^t e^{-Ls} v(s) ds + (\gamma - 1) \right]$$

and since $g(1) = 1$ we get

$$\int_0^1 e^{-Ls} v(s) ds = 1 - \gamma.$$

If the function v has to be constant, say v^* , then

$$v^* = (1 - \gamma) \left[\int_0^1 e^{-Ls} ds \right]^{-1} = \frac{L(1 - \gamma)}{1 - e^{-L}}.$$

We now have for any $g \in G$

$$|1 - \gamma| \leq \int_0^1 e^{-Ls} \|v\| ds = \|\Phi(g)\| \frac{1 - e^{-L}}{L}$$

so that

$$\|\Phi(g)\| \geq \frac{L|1 - \gamma|}{1 - e^{-L}} = \|\Phi(\bar{g})\|. \quad \square$$

3. Construction of a retraction

Now we describe a general method of construction of a retraction, the origin of which is in [B-G]. In Proposition 1 assume that $\gamma < 1$, and let \bar{g} be the corresponding function obtained with the minimum principle.

Proposition 2. *Let $T : B(X) \rightarrow B(X)$ be a map in $\mathcal{L}(L)$. Suppose that there exists a function $d : [0, 1] \rightarrow R$ such that*

$$\|x - Tx\| \geq d(\|x\|)$$

for any $x \in B(X)$.

Define, for $x \in B(X)$

$$Ax = x - (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|)) Tx,$$

then (trivially) $Ax = x$ for $x \in S(X)$ and moreover

(i) For any $x \in B(X)$

$$\|Ax\| \geq M,$$

where

$$M = \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \max[t - 1 + \bar{g}(t), d(t) - \bar{g}(t)].$$

(ii) $A \in \mathcal{L}(C)$ where

$$C = 1 + \frac{L(1 - \gamma)}{1 - e^{-L}}.$$

(iii) If $M > 0$ then $Rx = \frac{Ax}{\|Ax\|}$ defines a lipschitz retraction of $B(X)$ onto $S(X)$ in $\mathcal{L}(Q)$, where

$$Q = \frac{h(X)}{M} \left(1 + \frac{L(1 - \gamma)}{1 - e^{-L}} \right). \tag{1}$$

Proof. Condition (i) is easily proved, in fact we have

$$\|Ax\| \geq \|x\| - 1 + \bar{g}(\|x\|)$$

and

$$\|Ax\| \geq \|x - Tx\| - \bar{g}(\|x\|) \geq d(\|x\|) - \bar{g}(\|x\|)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\| &\geq \max[\|x\| - 1 + \bar{g}(\|x\|), d(\|x\|) - \bar{g}(\|x\|)] \\ &\geq \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \max[t - 1 + \bar{g}(t), d(t) - \bar{g}(t)]. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (ii) first note that, since $\gamma < 1$, \bar{g}' is a positive, increasing function. Therefore the inequality

$$|\bar{g}(a) - \bar{g}(b)| \leq |b - a| \bar{g}'(\max(a, b))$$

holds. The Lipschitz constant of A can be evaluated as follows:

$$Ax - Ay = (x - y) - (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))(Tx - Ty) + Ty(\bar{g}(\|x\|) - \bar{g}(\|y\|)),$$

we have

$$\|Ax - Ay\| \leq \|x - y\| + (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))L\|x - y\| + |\bar{g}(\|x\|) - \bar{g}(\|y\|)|$$

and also similarly

$$\|Ax - Ay\| \leq \|x - y\| + (1 - \bar{g}(\|y\|))L\|x - y\| + |\bar{g}(\|x\|) - \bar{g}(\|y\|)|.$$

These two inequalities imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax - Ay\| &\leq \|x - y\| + |\bar{g}(\|x\|) - \bar{g}(\|y\|)| \\ &\quad + L\|x - y\| \min(1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|), 1 - \bar{g}(\|y\|)) \\ &= \|x - y\| + |\bar{g}(\|x\|) - \bar{g}(\|y\|)| + L\|x - y\|(1 - \max(\bar{g}(\|x\|), \bar{g}(\|y\|))) \\ &= \|x - y\| + |\bar{g}(\|x\|) - \bar{g}(\|y\|)| + L\|x - y\|(1 - \bar{g}(\max(\|x\|, \|y\|))) \\ &\leq \|x - y\| + \|\|x\| - \|y\|\| \bar{g}'(\max(\|x\|, \|y\|)) \\ &\quad + L\|x - y\|(1 - \bar{g}(\max(\|x\|, \|y\|))) \\ &\leq \|x - y\| \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} [1 + \bar{g}'(t) + L - L\bar{g}(t)] = \left(1 + \frac{L(1 - \gamma)}{1 - e^{-L}}\right) \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally for (iii) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Rx - Ry\| &= \left\| \frac{Ax}{\|Ax\|} - \frac{Ay}{\|Ay\|} \right\| = \left\| P_R \left(\frac{Ax}{M} \right) - P_R \left(\frac{Ay}{M} \right) \right\| \leq \frac{h(X)}{M} \|Ax - Ay\| \\ &\leq \frac{h(X)}{M} \left(1 + \frac{L(1 - \gamma)}{1 - e^{-L}} \right) \|x - y\|, \end{aligned}$$

P_R and $h(X)$ being, respectively, the radial projection and constant. \square

4. The case $\psi_X(k) = 1 - 1/k$

Once the optimal choice of the function \bar{g} is made, one has to work to obtain a good estimate of the constant M with a careful choice of the map T and of the function d . Here is a simple way: let $T : B(X) \rightarrow B(X)$ be a map in $\mathcal{L}(k)$ such that $\|x - Tx\| \geq \psi_X(k) - \varepsilon$ for any $x \in B(X)$. By the arbitrariness of ε , we can choose

$$M = \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \max[t - 1 + \bar{g}(t), \psi_X(k) - \bar{g}(t)].$$

Since the knowledge of the function ψ_X is “very poor” especially for lower bounds, this estimate is not very useful with the only exception of the extreme case, that is when $\psi_X(k) = 1 - 1/k$. It is known that, for example, the spaces c_0 and $C[a, b]$ have this property.

Assume now that $\gamma < 1 - \frac{1}{2k}$; if $\psi_X(k) = 1 - 1/k$ we obtain:

$$M = \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \max[t - 1 + \bar{g}(t), 1 - 1/k - \bar{g}(t)] = \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{1}{2k},$$

where $\delta = \delta(k, \gamma)$ is the unique solution in $(0, 1)$ of the equation:

$$t - 1 + \bar{g}(t) = 1 - 1/k - \bar{g}(t).$$

Theorem 2. *Suppose that X is a space such that $\psi_X(k) = 1 - 1/k$ and let R be as in Proposition 2. Then R is a Lipschitz retraction of $B(X)$ onto $S(X)$ in $\mathcal{L}(Q)$, where*

$$Q = \frac{2kh(X)}{k\delta - 1} \left(1 + \frac{k(1 - \gamma)}{1 - e^{-k}} \right).$$

Noting that $h(X) \leq 2$, we can evaluate the expression of Q . A numerical minimization on the parameters k and γ gives

$$k_0(X) < 30.84$$

(30.8322 for $k = 5.81, \gamma = 0.46$).

This result improves the result in [B-G] for the general case $\psi_X(k) = 1 - 1/k$ ($k_0(X) \leq 37.74$) and the result in [B3] where it is proved that $k_0(c_0) \leq 35.18$; however it does not improve the result in [G2] where it is proved that $k_0(C[0, 1]) \leq 23.31$.

Remark. We do not know if $\psi_X(k) = 1 - 1/k$ implies $h(X) = 2$. We recall that $h(l_1) = 2$ and $\psi_{l_1}(k) < 1 - 1/k$ (see [B1]).

5. The case of l_1

As we have recalled in the last remark the space l_1 does not fit in our Theorem 1. In fact the function ψ is unknown for this space. In [B1] it is shown that

$$\psi_{l_1}(k) \geq \begin{cases} (3 - 2\sqrt{2})(k - 1) & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq 2 + \sqrt{2}, \\ 1 - 2/k & \text{if } 2 + \sqrt{2} < k \end{cases}$$

(for an upper bound see [G-K]).

In [B1], using the map defined below, it is proved that $k_0(l_1) < 31.64$.

Using the same map we improve this estimation.

Let us define $T_1 : B(l_1) \rightarrow B(l_1)$ by

$$T_1x = T_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|, \dots)$$

and $T_2 : T_1(B(l_1)) \rightarrow S^+(l_1)$ (here $S^+(l_1) = \{x \in S(l_1) : x_i \geq 0\}$) by

$$T_2x = T_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (|x_1| + 1 - \|x\|, |x_2|, |x_3|, \dots);$$

assuming that $k > 1$, for any $x \in S^+(l_1)$ there exists a maximal index $i_0(x)$ for which

$$\sum_{j=i_0(x)}^{\infty} x_j > 1/k$$

and there exists a unique $\mu(x) \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\mu(x)x_{i_0(x)} + \sum_{j=i_0(x)+1}^{\infty} x_j = 1/k.$$

The map $T_3 : S^+(l_1) \rightarrow S^+(l_1)$ is then defined by

$$T_3x = T_3(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (k(0, \dots, 0, \mu(x)x_{i_0(x)}, x_{i_0(x)+1}, \dots),$$

where 0 appears $i_0(x)$ times.

Proposition 3. *Let $T = T_3 \circ T_2 \circ T_1$, then $T \in \mathcal{L}(2k)$ and for $x \in B(l_1)$ we have:*

$$\|Tx - x\| \geq 1 + \|x\| - 2/k.$$

Proof. The proof that $T \in \mathcal{L}(2k)$ is in [G-K]. Let $x \in B$ and set $x' = T_2 T_1 x$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - x\| &= \sum_{j=1}^{i_0(x')} |x_j| + |k\mu(x)| |x_{i_0(x')} - x_{i_0(x')+1}| + \sum_{j=i_0(x')+1}^{\infty} |k|x_j| - x_{j+1}| \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{i_0(x')} |x_j| + k\mu(x) |x_{i_0(x')} - x_{i_0(x')+1}| \\ &\quad + k \sum_{j=i_0(x')+1}^{\infty} |x_j| - \sum_{j=i_0(x')+1}^{\infty} |x_{j+1}| \\ &= \|x\| - \sum_{j=i_0(x')+1}^{\infty} |x_j| + 1 - \sum_{j=i_0(x')+1}^{\infty} |x_j| = 1 + \|x\| - 2 \sum_{j=i_0(x')+1}^{\infty} |x_j| \\ &\geq 1 + \|x\| - 2/k. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Since for $x \in B(I_1)$ we have $\|Tx\| = 1$ we obtain

$$\|Tx - x\| \geq \|Tx\| - \|x\| = 1 - \|x\|$$

and so

$$\|Tx - x\| \geq \max(1 - \|x\|, 1 + \|x\| - 2/k).$$

Thus for the function d in Proposition 2 we can use the function: $d(t) = \max(1 - t, 1 + t - 2/k)$ and so we obtain:

$$M = M(k, \gamma) = \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \max[t - 1 + \bar{g}(t), 1 - t - \bar{g}(t), 1 + t - 2/k - \bar{g}(t)].$$

Replacing in formula (1) M with the above expression and $h(X)$ with 2 we obtain a retraction with Lipschitz constant:

$$\frac{2}{M(k, \gamma)} \left(1 + \frac{2k(1 - \gamma)}{1 - e^{-2k}} \right).$$

A numerical minimization on the parameters k and γ gives

$$k_0(I_1) < 22.45$$

(22.44850 for $k = 2.857, \gamma = 0.061$).

6. The case of Hilbert space

As in the case of l_1 , in the Hilbert space $L^2[0, 1]$ we will work with a particular map T_1 (see [B2]). Let $f \in L^2 = L^2[0, 1]$, $k \geq 1$; $T_1 : L^2 \rightarrow S(L^2)$ is defined by

$$(T_1 f)(t) = \begin{cases} 1 + k|f(t)| & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq t(f), \\ 0 & \text{if } t(f) < t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $t(f)$ is the unique solution in $[0, 1]$ of the equation:

$$\int_0^{t(f)} (1 + k|f(s)|)^2 ds = 1.$$

Proposition 4. *The map T_1 has the following properties:*

(i) For every $f, g \in L^2$,

$$\|T_1 f - T_1 g\|^2 \leq 2k\|f - g\|.$$

(ii) For every $f \in L^2$ and every real number α ,

$$\|f - \alpha T_1 f\|^2 \geq \alpha^2 + \|f\|^2 - \frac{2|\alpha|}{k}.$$

Proof. To prove (i) let $f, g \in L^2$ and suppose $t(f) \leq t(g)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1 f - T_1 g\|^2 &= \int_0^{t(f)} (k|f| - k|g|)^2 + \int_{t(f)}^{t(g)} (1 + k|g|)^2 \\ &= \int_0^{t(f)} (k|f| - k|g|)^2 + 1 - \int_0^{t(f)} (1 + k|g|)^2 \\ &= \int_0^{t(f)} (k|f| - k|g|)^2 + \int_0^{t(f)} (1 + k|f|)^2 - \int_0^{t(f)} (1 + k|g|)^2 \\ &= \int_0^{t(f)} (2k^2|f|^2 - 2k^2|f||g| + 2k(|f| - |g|)) \\ &= \int_0^{t(f)} 2k(|f| - |g|)(1 + k|f|) \leq 2k \int_0^{t(f)} |f - g|(1 + k|f|) \\ &\leq 2k \left(\int_0^{t(f)} |f - g|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{t(f)} (1 + k|f|)^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq 2k\|f - g\|. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $f \in L^2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \alpha T_1 f\|^2 &= \int_0^{t(f)} (f - \alpha - \alpha k|f|)^2 + \int_{t(f)}^1 f^2 \\ &= \int_0^{t(f)} (f^2 + \alpha^2 + \alpha^2 k^2 f^2 - 2\alpha f - 2\alpha k f|f| + 2\alpha^2 k|f|) \\ &\quad + \|f\|^2 - \int_0^{t(f)} f^2 \\ &= \|f\|^2 + \int_0^{t(f)} \alpha^2(1 + k^2 f^2 + 2k|f|) - \int_0^{t(f)} \alpha(2f + 2kf|f|) \\ &\geq \|f\|^2 + \alpha^2 \int_0^{t(f)} (1 + k|f|)^2 - |\alpha| \int_0^{t(f)} (2|f| + 2kf^2) \\ &\geq \|f\|^2 + \alpha^2 - |\alpha| \int_0^{t(f)} (4|f| + 2kf^2) \geq \|f\|^2 + \alpha^2 - \frac{2|\alpha|}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is true because

$$1 = t(f) + 2k \int_0^{t(f)} |f| + k^2 \int_0^{t(f)} |f|^2$$

from which

$$2/k \geq 2 \frac{1 - t(f)}{k} = 4 \int_0^{t(f)} |f| + 2k \int_0^{t(f)} |f|^2. \quad \square$$

Remark. In [B2] it is proved that $\|T_1 f - T_1 g\|^2 \leq k^2 \|f - g\|^2 + 2k(k + 1) \|f - g\|$ and that $\|T_1 f - f\|^2 \geq (1 - 1/k)^2$.

The above described map is not a Lipschitzian map. In order to proceed we will use a technique introduced in [K-W]: first we restrict the map to a special subset \tilde{W} of $B(L^2[0, 1])$ where the map is Lipschitzian, then we extend this restriction to the whole space using the Kirzbraun extension theorem. We will make a better choice of the subset \tilde{W} than in [K-W] (see also the same method in [B2,G-K]). In fact we will use the following theorem:

Theorem 3 (Klee [K1]). *Let ξ be an infinite cardinal number for which $\xi^{\aleph_0} = \xi$. Then $l^2(\xi)$ contains a $\sqrt{2}$ -dispersed proximal set \tilde{W} such that $\inf\{\|x - w\| : w \in \tilde{W}\} \leq 1$ for all $x \in l^2(\xi)$.*

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider in $l^2(\xi)$ the set $W = \varepsilon \tilde{W}$. Obviously if $x, y \in W$ we have:

$$\|x - y\| > \varepsilon \sqrt{2}$$

and for every x there exists a $z \in W$ such that

$$\|x - z\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

We embed $L^2[0, 1]$ in $l^2(\xi)$ as a closed subspace and we denote by P the orthogonal projection onto it. If $T_2 = T_1P$ then T_2 has properties (i) and (ii) of Proposition 4. Indeed we have

$$\|T_2x - T_2y\|^2 \leq 2k\|Px - Py\| \leq 2k\|x - y\|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - \alpha T_2x\|^2 &= \|x - Px + Px - \alpha T_1Px\|^2 = \|x - Px\|^2 + \|Px - \alpha T_1Px\|^2 \\ &\geq \|x - Px\|^2 + \alpha^2 + \|Px\|^2 - \frac{2|\alpha|}{k} = \alpha^2 + \|x\|^2 - \frac{2|\alpha|}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Call now T_3 the restriction of T_2 to W . Then $T_3 \in \mathcal{L}(\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2k}}{\varepsilon}})$. Indeed if $x, y \in W$ we have

$$\|T_3x - T_3y\| \leq \sqrt{2k}\|x - y\| < \sqrt{\frac{2k\|x - y\|^2}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2k}}{\varepsilon}}\|x - y\|.$$

Using the Kirzbraum theorem (see [Ki]) we extend T_3 to all $l^2(\xi)$ keeping the same Lipschitz constant, we shall call this extension T_4 . Notice that T_4 takes values in $\overline{\text{co}}(T_3(B(l^2(\gamma)))) \subset \overline{\text{co}}(S(L^2[0, 1])) = B(L^2[0, 1])$. Finally denote by T the restriction of T_4 to L^2 . Obviously, T is a map from $L^2[0, 1]$ to $B(L^2[0, 1])$ belonging to $\mathcal{L}(\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2k}}{\varepsilon}})$. Now we take $x \in B(L^2)$. We evaluate directly

$$Ax = x - (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))Tx.$$

Choose $z \in W$ such that $\|x - z\| \leq \varepsilon$; note that $z \in W$ implies $\|T_4z\| = 1$. So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\| &= \|x - (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))Tx\| = \|x - (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))(T_4x - T_4z + T_4z)\| \\ &\geq (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))\|T_4z\| - \|x\| - (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))\|T_4x - T_4z\| \\ &\geq (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|)) - \|x\| - (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2k}}{\varepsilon}}\|x - z\| \\ &\geq (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))(1 - \sqrt{\sqrt{2k\varepsilon}}) - \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\| &= \|x - (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))T_4x\| \\ &= \|z - (z - x) - (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))T_4z - (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))(T_4x - T_4z)\| \\ &\geq \|z - (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))T_4z\| - \|x - z\| - (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))\|T_4x - T_4z\| \\ &\geq \sqrt{\|z\|^2 + (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))^2} - \frac{2(1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))}{k} - \varepsilon - (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))\sqrt{\sqrt{2}k\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\|z\| \geq \|x\| - \|z - x\| \geq \|x\| - \varepsilon,$$

assuming $\|x\| > \varepsilon$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\| &\geq \sqrt{(\|x\| - \varepsilon)^2 + (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))^2} - \frac{2(1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))}{k} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon - (1 - \bar{g}(\|x\|))\sqrt{\sqrt{2}k\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

The preceding inequality gives

$$\|Ax\| \geq M(k, \gamma, \varepsilon) = \min_{t \in [0,1]} \max(d_1(t), d_2(t)),$$

where

$$d_1(t) = (1 - \bar{g}(t))(1 - \sqrt{\sqrt{2}k\varepsilon}) - t$$

and

$$d_2(t) = \begin{cases} d_1(t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon, \\ \sqrt{(t - \varepsilon)^2 + (1 - \bar{g}(t))^2} - \frac{2(1 - \bar{g}(t))}{k} \\ \quad - \varepsilon - (1 - \bar{g}(t))\sqrt{\sqrt{2}k\varepsilon} & \text{if } \varepsilon < t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Consider now formula (1) with $h(X) = 1$, $L = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2k}}{\varepsilon}}M(k, \gamma, \varepsilon)$, choosing the values: $k = 2.25$, $\varepsilon = 0.029$, $\gamma = -0.53$, we obtain the estimate:

$$k_0(L^2[0, 1]) < 28.99.$$

References

- [B-S] Y. Benyamini, Y. Sternfeld, Spheres in infinite-dimensional normed spaces are Lipschitz contractible, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1983) 439–445.
- [B1] K. Bolibok, Minimal displacement and retraction problem in the space l_1 , Nonlinear Anal. Forum 3 (1998) 13–23.
- [B2] K. Bolibok, Construction of Lipschitzian mappings with non zero minimal displacement in spaces $L^1[0, 1]$ and $L^2[0, 1]$, Ann. Univ. Marie Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A 50 (1996) 25–31.
- [B3] K. Bolibok, Construction of Lipschitzian retraction in the space c_0 , Ann. Univ. Marie Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A 51 (1997) 43–46.
- [B-G] K. Bolibok, K. Goebel, Note on minimal displacement and retraction problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 206 (1997) 308–314.
- [F] C. Franchetti, The norm of the minimal projection onto hyperplanes in $L^p[0, 1]$ and the radial constant, Boll. Un. Mat. It. 4 (7) (1990) 803–821.
- [G1] K. Goebel, On minimal displacement of points under Lipschitzian mappings, Pacific J. Math. 48 (1973) 151–163.
- [G2] K. Goebel, A way to retract balls onto spheres, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 2 (2001) 47–51.
- [G-K] K. Goebel, W.A. Kirk, Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [Ki] M.D. Kirzbraun, Über die Zusammenziehende und Lipschitzsche Transformationen, Fund. Math. 22 (1934) 77–108.
- [K1] V. Klee, Do infinite-dimensional Banach spaces admit nice tilings?, Studia Scient. Math. Hungar. 21 (1986) 415–427.
- [K-W] T. Komorowski, J. Wośko, A remark on the retracting of ball onto a sphere in an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, Math. Scand. 67 (1990) 223–226.